



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

14

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,852	09/09/2003	Jeroen Demmer	11000.1070U	3215
20601	7590	05/17/2005	EXAMINER	
SPECKMAN LAW GROUP PLLC				KAUSHAL, SUMESH
1501 WESTERN AVE				ART UNIT
SEATTLE, WA 98101				PAPER NUMBER
				1636

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/657,852	DEMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	Art Unit 1636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5, 10-25, 28 and 30-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 9,26,27,29 and 34 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/30/04.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed on 02/22/05 has been acknowledged.

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II claims 6-9, 26-27, 29 and 34, wherein the elected subject matter is SEQ ID NO:15 (encoded by SEQ ID NO:3) in the reply filed on 02/22/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-5, 10-25, 28 and 30-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 02/22/05.

*Applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under revised 37 CFR §1.121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.*

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9, 26-27, 29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The scope of invention as claimed encompasses any and all variants of SEQ ID NO:15 having at least 75% to 98% identity (2-25% variation) to the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:15. At best the specification discloses the SEQ ID NO: 15, which encodes an antifreeze protein (AFP2). However, the specification fails to disclose any other natural or non-natural variant of SEQ ID NO:15 that has an ability to bind ice crystals.

Applicant is referred to the guidelines for *Written Description Requirement* published January 5, 2001 in the Federal Register, Vol.66, No.4, pp.1099-1110 (see <http://www.uspto.gov>). The disclosure of a single species is rarely, if ever, sufficient to describe a broad genus, particularly when the specification fails to describe the features of that genus, even in passing. (see *In re Shokal* 113USPQ283(CCPA1957); *Purdue Pharma L. P. vs Faulding Inc.* 56 USPQ2nd 1481 (CAFC 2000). In instant case the specification discloses the SEQ ID NO: 15, which encodes an antifreeze protein.

In analyzing whether the written description requirement is met for the genus claim, it is first determined whether a representative number of species have been described by their complete structure. In the instant case, the specification does not provide the structure of any other variant of SEQ ID NO:15 that has the asserted functional activity. Next, it is determined whether a representative number of species have been sufficiently described by other relevant identifying characteristics. The specification fails to disclose any other variant of SEQ ID NO:15 having any other relevant identifying characteristics. It is not possible to envision the claimed compositions because it is not known what are the different variants of this protein and what particulars mutations, such as point mutations, deletion mutations or any other sequence changes would be present. Therefore, the limited disclosure in the specification is not deemed sufficient to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the applicants were in possession of the huge genera recited in the claims at the time the application was filed. Thus it is concluded that the written description requirement is not satisfied for the claimed genera.

Furthermore, the possession may be shown by actual reduction to practice, clear depiction of the invention in a detailed drawing, or by describing the invention with

sufficient relevant identifying characteristics (as it relates to the claimed invention as a whole) such that a person skilled in the art would recognize that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention. See, e.g., *Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.*, 525 U.S. 55, 68, 119 S.Ct. 304, 312, 48 USPQ2d 1641, 1647 (1998); *Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406; *Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical*, 927 F.2d 1200, 1206, 18 USPQ2d 1016, 1021 (Fed. Cir. 1991). In claims to genetic material, generic statement such as "vertebrate insulin cDNA" or mammalian insulin cDNA," without more, is not adequate written description of claimed genus, since it does not distinguish genus from others except by function, and does not specifically define any of genes that fall within its definition, or describe structural features commonly possessed by members of genus that distinguish them from others; accordingly, naming type of material generally known to exist, in absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, is not description of that material (*Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406).

In the instant case the variants (as claimed) has been defined only by a statement of function that broadly encompasses an ability to bind ice crystals-like activity, which conveyed no distinguishing information about the identity of the claimed amino acid sequence, such as its relevant structural or physical characteristics. IN instant case the variation as claimed also encompasses the conserved motifs, which are considered germane to the functional activity of an antifreeze-like polypeptide. Furthermore 2-25% variation (75-98% identical) as claimed would certainly affect proper folding and biological activity if amino acids that are critical for such functions are substituted, since the relationship between the sequence of a polypeptide and its tertiary structure is neither well understood nor predictable. In addition, mere identification of critical regions would not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that the encoded polypeptide must assume the proper three-dimensional configuration to be active, which is dependent upon the surrounding residues (see Ngo, in *The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction*, Merz et al. (eds.), Birkhauser Boston: Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, 1994). Rudinger (in *Peptide Hormones*, Parsons (ed.), University Park Press: Baltimore, MD, pp. 1-7, 1976). According to these

facts, one skill in the art would conclude that applicant was not in the possession of the claimed genus because a description of only one member of this genus is not representative of the variants of genus and is insufficient to support the claim.

Claims 9, 26-27, 29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:15, wherein the polypeptide is encoded by the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:3, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all natural or non natural variants of SEQ ID NO:15. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

**Nature Of Invention:**

The invention relates to an antifreeze protein.

**Breadth Of Claims And Guidance Provided By The Inventor:**

The scope of invention as claimed encompasses any and all variants of SEQ ID NO:15, wherein at least 2-25% (75%-98% identity) of amino acid sequences has been added, deleted or substituted over the entire length of SEQ ID NO:15.

**State Of Art And Predictability:**

The antifreeze proteins have evolved to meet the special task of protecting small water and land animals, as well as some plants, from freezing. Their special mode of interaction with the ice lattice suppresses the freezing point of water by up to several degrees. Freezing is a process of ice crystallization from super cooled water. Ice should first experience ice nucleation, followed by growth. Whether or not freezing takes place is determined to a large extent by ice nucleation. There is evidence that fish antifreeze proteins bind to and reduce the efficiency of heterogeneous nucleation sites, rather than binding to embryonic ice nuclei. The antifreeze action of the AFP is actually first to inhibit the nucleation by terminating the relevant kinetics (Strom et al. J Am Chem Soc. 127(1):428-440, 2005, Davies et al, Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 357(1423):927-35, 2002). Furthermore the mechanisms by which the antifreeze protein (AFP) modifies the ice morphology is complex, since the growth morphology of the AFP-ice system is

derived from various factors, including the face indices, surface molecular compositions, relative growth rates, and the mechanisms responsible for that morphology. Storm et al J Biol Chem. 279(31):32407-417. 2004).

The scope of invention as claimed encompasses variation in conserved amino acid sequence domain that is considered essential for an ability to bind ice crystals. This renders the invention as claimed unpredictable, since applicant wish to identify a variant that does not even comprises the conserved amino acid sequences required for antifreeze activity. It is general knowledge in the art that even conservative amino acid substitutions can adversely affect proper folding and biological activity if amino acids that are critical for such functions are substituted, and the relationship between the sequence of a polypeptide and its tertiary structure is neither well understood nor predictable. The variants as claimed are only hypothetical proteins because no biological function has been established. The mere identification of critical regions would not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that the encoded polypeptide must assume the proper three-dimensional configuration to be active, which is dependent upon the surrounding residues. see Ngo, in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, Merz et al. (eds.), Birkhauser Boston: Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, 1994). Rudinger (in Peptide Hormones, Parsons (ed.), University Park Press: Baltimore, MD, pp. 1-7, 1976).

The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). In instant case screening of any and all natural and non-natural variants, wherein at least 2-25% of amino acid are added substituted and/or deleted in the disclosed SEQ ID NO:15 is not considered routine. Making and testing a point mutation is significantly different from the making and testing an amino acid sequences wherein at least 2-25% amino acids are added, deleted and/or substituted. The number of possible scenario increase geometrically with increase in percent non-identity. Such making and testing is nothing more than an invitation to further experimentation, since the specification can not be relied on to teach how to make the variants as claimed. One has to engage in extensive making and testing in order to obtain variants that meet the requirements for the claimed antifreeze

activity. This is not considered routine in the art and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See *In re Wands* 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988). It is noted that the unpredictability of a particular area may alone provide reasonable doubt as to the accuracy of the broad statement made in support of enablement of claims. See *Ex parte Singh*, 17 USPQ2d 1714 (BPAI 1991). Therefore, one skill in the art would have to engage in excessive and undue amount of experimentation to exercise the invention as claimed. Therefore, the applicant has not presented enablement commensurate in scope with the claims.

### ***Conclusion***

Claims 6-8 are free of prior art of record. The prior art does not teach or suggest isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:15 encoded by the nucleic acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:3.

Claims 9, 26-27, 29 and 34 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0769. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. from 9AM-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yucel Irem Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent

Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to **571-272-0547**. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Sumesh Kaushal  
Examiner GAU 1636



SUMESH KAUSHAL  
PATENT EXAMINER